© 2021. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd | Journal of Experimental Biology (2021) 224, jeb242423. doi:10.1242/jeb.242423

CORRECTION

Correction: Optic flow stabilizes flight in ruby-throated hummingbirds

Ivo G. Ros and Andrew A. Biewener

There was an error published in *J. Exp. Biol.* (2016) **219**, jeb128488 (doi:10.1242/jeb.128488).

An error in figure production meant that the *y*-axis tic labels in Fig. 3B,C were misaligned. The corrected version along with the original error is shown below.



Fig. 3B,C (corrected panels). Rotational velocities of the head and body, and translational flight velocities in ruby-throated hummingbirds match projected surround speeds. (B,C) Between 0.5 and 1.5 s after stimulus onset, head (blue) and body (red) rotation velocities correlate with corresponding surround velocities (solid, dark prediction lines; $P<0.001$), although variation in body rotation tracking (C) is considerably greater than head rotation tracking (B) of the surround. Means ±s.d. (error bars in B,C) illustrate surround tracking.



Fig. 3B,C (original panels). Rotational velocities of the head and body, and translational flight velocities in ruby-throated hummingbirds match projected surround speeds. (B,C) Between 0.5 and 1.5 s after stimulus onset, head (blue) and body (red) rotation velocities correlate with corresponding surround velocities (solid, dark prediction lines; $P<0.001$), although variation in body rotation tracking (C) is considerably greater than head rotation tracking (B) of the surround. Means±s.d. (error bars in B,C) illustrate surround tracking.

Both the online full-text and PDF versions of the article have been updated. The journal apologises to readers and the authors for any inconvenience caused.