

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Strong association between corticosterone levels and temperature-dependent metabolic rate in individual zebra finches

Blanca Jimeno^{1,2,*}, Michaela Hau^{2,3} and Simon Verhulst¹

ABSTRACT

Glucocorticoid hormones (GCs) are often assumed to be indicators of stress. At the same time, one of their fundamental roles is to facilitate metabolic processes to accommodate changes in energetic demands. Although the metabolic function of GCs is thought to be ubiquitous across vertebrates, we are not aware of experiments which tested this directly, i.e. in which metabolic rate was manipulated and measured together with GCs. We therefore tested for a relationship between plasma corticosterone (CORT; ln transformed) and metabolic rate (MR; measured using indirect calorimetry) in a between- and within-individual design in captive zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*) of both sexes. In each individual, CORT and MR were measured at two different temperature levels: ‘warm’ (22°C) and ‘cold’ (12°C). CORT and MR were both increased in colder compared with warmer conditions within individuals, but also across individuals. At the between-individual level, we found a positive relationship between CORT and MR, with an accelerating slope towards higher MR and CORT values. In contrast, the within-individual changes in CORT and MR in response to colder conditions were linearly correlated between individuals. The CORT–MR relationship did not differ between the sexes. Our results illustrate the importance of including variation at different levels to better understand physiological modulation. Furthermore, our findings support the interpretation of CORT variation as an indicator of metabolic needs.

KEY WORDS: Corticosterone, *Taeniopygia guttata*, Glucocorticoid, Metabolic rate

INTRODUCTION

Glucocorticoid hormones (GCs; e.g. cortisol, corticosterone) are often quantified to assess whether individuals or populations are ‘stressed’ (reviewed in Dantzer et al., 2014; Koolhaas et al., 2011). However, circulating GC concentrations can also increase during non-stressful situations, for example with the regular daily increases in energy demands that individuals routinely experience (McEwen and Wingfield, 2003; Landys et al., 2006; Romero et al., 2009; Beerling et al., 2011). This is in line with one of the primary functions of GCs, which is to interface with metabolism in a variety of ways. GCs have been named for their function to convert stored energy into glucose, and are therefore predicted to fluctuate in

concert with metabolic demands. However, although this basic prediction underlies many concepts of GC regulation and function (McEwen and Wingfield, 2003; Romero et al., 2009), the existence and nature of the relationship between metabolic rate (MR) and GCs is still surprisingly unresolved (Holtmann et al., 2017; reviewed in Romero and Wingfield, 2015).

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that GCs and metabolism may be linked, both at the inter- and intra-specific level. Perhaps the most convincing evidence available comes from a recent comparative study on mammals, which found that both baseline and stress-induced cortisol levels correlated positively with mass-specific MR (Haase et al., 2016). At the intraspecific level, GC levels have been shown to be associated with energy expenditure (Welcker et al., 2015), and with factors that presumably affected energy expenditure. For example, baseline GC concentrations are generally higher with increased workload, resource limitations, reproductive investment, immune responses or thermoregulatory demands (Romero et al., 2009; Bonier et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2009; Bauch et al., 2016; Goymann et al., 2017; Merklings et al., 2017; Ouyang et al., 2013). Likewise, stress-induced concentrations (increases following exposure to acute stressors) can be affected by energetically-demanding processes such as molt (Cyr et al., 2008; Bauer et al., 2011; de Bruijn and Romero, 2013), climatic conditions (de Bruijn and Romero, 2011) or reproductive behaviour (Buwalda et al., 2012; Ouyang et al., 2013). In contrast, other studies have not detected a covariation between GCs and metabolism (e.g. MR, daily energy expenditure), perhaps because GCs and metabolism were not measured at the same time (e.g. Buehler et al., 2012; Welcker et al., 2009). A number of studies have employed exogenous GC administration to test for effects on metabolism (Prest and Cree, 2008; Miles et al., 2007; Wack et al., 2012; Buttemer et al., 1991; Wikelski et al., 1999; Spencer and Verhulst, 2008). However, results have been inconsistent, especially among endotherm species (Buttemer et al., 1991; Wikelski et al., 1999; Spencer and Verhulst, 2008), perhaps because GC-induced increases in blood glucose levels may be required to maintain a high MR, but they may not necessarily cause a high MR.

Despite the wealth of circumstantial evidence for a GC–MR association, we are not aware of studies in which MR was simultaneously manipulated and measured in conjunction with GC measurements. The latter is an important addition, because measured effects on MR are more convincing than assumed effects. Furthermore, direct measurements are necessary for direct quantification of the GC–MR association, and individual variation in MR can otherwise not be incorporated in the analyses. We therefore tested for an association between manipulated MR and endogenous corticosterone (CORT; the main GC in birds) in captive zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*), using both between- and within-individual approaches. For each individual, we measured CORT and

¹Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. ²Max Planck Institute for Ornithology, D-82319 Seewiesen, Germany. ³University of Konstanz, 78464 Konstanz, Germany.

*Author for correspondence (bjimeno@evl.gwdg.de)

© B.J., 0000-0003-3040-0163

MR (oxygen consumption) in ‘warm’ (room temperature; 22°C) and ‘cold’ (12°C) conditions. Both temperatures are below the thermoneutral zone of zebra finches and differ strongly in the imposed thermoregulatory demands, i.e. energy expenditure (Calder, 1964; Briga and Verhulst, 2017). Based on the hypothesis that CORT variation reflects metabolic needs, we predicted that each individual will increase CORT when exposed to the cold compared to the room-temperature treatment insofar as the cold treatment induces an increase in MR (within-individual approach). We tested for the same association between individuals, but have less of a prediction at this level because there may be individual variation in the CORT–MR association, leading to weak or no correlation at the between-individual level (e.g. Goymann and Dávila, 2017). Finally, we compared the CORT–MR association between the sexes, because we previously found that natural variation in ambient temperature was related to CORT in females but not in males, and this contrast can potentially be explained by sex differences in the CORT–MR association (Jimeno et al., 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of 36 birds (18 males and 18 females; *Taeniopygia guttata* Reichenbach 1862) were used in this study. They were reared as part of a larger population in our facilities at the University of Groningen, The Netherlands, in outdoor aviaries (L×H×W: 310×210×150 cm) containing 12 pairs each, with free access to food and water. After reaching independence, birds were moved to big single-sex outdoor aviaries (L×H×W: 310×210×300 cm). One month before the experiment started, birds were moved to four separate single-sex outdoor aviaries (L×H×W: 310×210×150 cm) with 10 birds each. Food and water were provided *ad libitum*. To avoid potential age effects, all birds were of similar age (8–13 months) when the experiment started, and born during the breeding season of 2014.

Blood sampling and experimental treatments

The experiment was carried out during April and May 2015. Each bird went through four respirometry sessions (with a minimum time of 2 weeks of recovery time between sessions) of 3 h each, and was subjected to four different treatments in random order, two of which were the warm and cold treatment mentioned above (the treatments in the other two sessions – 15 min noise stress applied either early or late during the 3 h measurement session – fall outside the scope of the present paper). The identity of the bird to be sampled was predetermined and target birds were previously marked with colour rings to facilitate their individual identification when catching. In the ‘warm’ treatment, the ambient temperature was kept at 22°C for the entire session (3 h). In the ‘cold’ treatment, the ambient temperature

was decreased to 12°C after 1.5 h, and kept low for the remaining 1.5 h. Average temperature in the outdoor aviaries during sampling hours was 14.38±0.38°C (mean±s.e.m.). Respirometry measurements were conducted either in the morning (9:00–13:00 h) or in the afternoon (14:00–18:00 h). In each respirometry session, two birds (one male and one female) were measured simultaneously, and the sets of two birds remained the same throughout all trials.

Birds were captured from the outdoors aviaries (one bird per aviary per day) and transported indoors into the respirometer room in separate cages (L×W×H: 40×40×15 cm) with access to food. See Fig. 1 for a schematic overview of the measurement procedure. The birds were left undisturbed in the respirometer room for 1 h to acclimate to room temperature (22°C), after which we took the first blood sample for CORT analysis (CORTstart). Birds were weighed to the nearest 0.1 g before going into the metabolic chambers. The door of the respirometer room was then closed, and MR measurements started. During the following 1.5 h, the birds remained undisturbed to further acclimatize to the metabolic chambers. After this time, for the remaining 1.5 h, the temperature was either decreased to 12°C in the cold treatment (taking 15–20 min; temperature was changed without entering the room), or kept at 22°C for the warm treatment. After this time, the MR was at an approximately stable level (Fig. 2), birds were taken out and a second blood sample was taken (CORTend). Afterwards, they were put into a cage (L×W×H: 40×40×15 cm) with food and water to recover before being returned to their aviary.

All CORT samples were taken within 3 min after entering the respirometry chamber to minimize disturbance effects on CORT values.

Metabolic rate

MR was measured using an open-flow respirometer situated in a temperature-controlled room. Each individual was transferred to a 1.5 l metabolic chamber, without food or water. For detailed information about the technique, see Bouwhuis et al. (2011). In brief, the air flow through the metabolic chambers was kept at 22 l h⁻¹ by mass-flow controllers (5850S; Brooks, Rijswijk, The Netherlands) calibrated with a bubble flowmeter. The air was dried using a molecular sieve (3 Å; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and analysed using a paramagnetic oxygen analyser (Servomex Xentra 4100, Crowborough, UK). During the measurements, each metabolic chamber or reference outdoor air was sampled for 60 s every 3 min. In each sampling, we measured O₂ and CO₂ concentration and oxygen consumption was calculated using the equation of Hill (1972). An energy equivalent of 19.7 kJ l⁻¹ oxygen consumed was used to calculate energy expenditure in watt (W). When analysing the data, we took the average MR during the last 10 min of the 3 h session as a measure of MR (MRend).




Fig. 1. Timeline of the experiment.




Fig. 2. Metabolic rate (MR) throughout the entire trial (3 h). The MRs for the warm and cold treatments are plotted (means \pm s.e.m.) for each 15 min interval. The arrow indicates the point at which the temperature was decreased in the cold treatment.

Hormone analyses

Plasma CORT concentrations were measured using an enzyme immunoassay kit (cat. no. ADI-900-097, ENZO Life Sciences, Lausen, Switzerland), following previously established protocols (Ouyang et al., 2015). Samples taken from one individual were placed in neighbouring wells but, in other respects, samples were randomly distributed. Briefly, aliquots of 10 μ l plasma along with a buffer blank and two positive controls (at 20 ng ml⁻¹) were extracted with diethyl ether. After evaporation, samples were re-dissolved in 280 μ l assay buffer. On the next day, two 100 μ l duplicates of each sample were added to an assay plate and taken through the assay. Buffer blanks were at or below the assay's lower detection limit (27 pg ml⁻¹). Intra-plate coefficient of variation (CV; mean \pm s.e.m.) was 10.76 \pm 2.77% and inter-plate CV was 8.2% ($n=11$ plates; note that plate identity was included as a random effect in the statistical analyses). Samples with CV >20% were re-assayed when there was sufficient plasma. Final CORT concentrations were corrected for average loss of sample during extraction, which is 15% in our laboratory (Baugh et al., 2014).

Statistical analyses

We used paired *t*-test to test for the effect of temperature treatments on both MR and CORT. To assess the CORT–MR association, we constructed general linear mixed models for both the between-individual and within-individual approaches. For the between-individual approach, we used CORTend values as the dependent variable and MRend, sex, body mass (as the average between the two measurements taken before and after going into the respirometer) and treatment (warm or cold) as predictors. Individual identity and assay plate (CORT analyses) were included as random factors. Visual inspection of the data suggested the relationship between MR and CORT to be non-linear, so we tested for a quadratic effect of MR on CORT in the analysis. We also tested for potential effects of sampling variables on CORTend variation: sampling round (morning/afternoon), sampling order within the pair (first or second) and whether or not it was the first time the individual was placed into the respirometer. However, none of these variables had a significant effect on CORTend (Table S1), so we did not include them in further analyses.

For the within-individual approach, we used the change in CORT between the two treatments [calculated as (CORTend in cold) – (CORTend in warm)] as the dependent variable and change in MR [as (MRend in cold) – (MRend in warm)] and sex as predictors. We did not consider CORTstart a proper control for the treatment effect because the experience of the animal prior to sampling (capture and handling) was very different from the experience prior to the sample after treatment. Assay plate was included as a random factor.

While building the two models described above, we used backward elimination of least significant terms. After model selection, the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973) was also considered to confirm that the final models had the lowest AIC values. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 (<http://www.R-project.org/>) with the function 'lmer' of the R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015). R^2 was calculated using the function 'r.squaredGLMM' of the R package MuMIn (<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn>). Logarithmic transformations were performed to normalize CORT. CORT change was calculated as $\ln(\text{CORT}_{\text{cold}}) - \ln(\text{CORT}_{\text{warm}})$. Residuals of the final models showed a normal distribution. While building the models, one individual male was excluded from the between-individual analyses because it was a clear statistical outlier (this data point was 2.75 times the s.d. of the model residuals). That was not the case in the within-individual analysis, where its residuals were within 1 s.d. (0.25) of the model residuals.

Ethics

All methods and experimental procedures were carried out under the approval of the Animal Experimentation Ethical Committee of the University of Groningen, The Netherlands, licence 5150G.

RESULTS

Treatment effects

During the cold treatment, individuals maintained a significantly higher MR than during the warm treatment ($t_{34}=-5.76$, $P<0.0001$; Fig. 3A). The MR response to temperature was shown by both sexes (males: $t_{17}=-3.99$, $P=0.001$; females: $t_{17}=-4.04$, $P=0.001$). Likewise, individuals showed higher CORT concentrations after cold compared with the warm treatment ($t_{34}=-2.70$, $P=0.011$; Fig. 3B) and this effect was also similar in the two sexes (males: $t_{17}=-2.01$, $P=0.061$; females: $t_{17}=-1.80$, $P=0.090$).

Between-individual approach

The model with CORT as the dependent variable showed a strong quadratic relationship with MR (Table 1), with the slope accelerating towards higher MR (Fig. 4). The MR variation is a mixture of individual differences and a temperature effect, and these two types of variation may or may not associate with CORT in the same way. However, adding treatment to the model in Table 1 resulted in a poorer model fit (treatment effect when added to the model: $P=0.4$, and $\Delta\text{AICc}=3.73$), indicating that the quadratic relationship between MR and CORT was independent of treatment (Table S2). This implies that temperature-independent individual variation in MR associated in the same way with CORT as the temperature-induced variation. The association between CORT and MR was also independent of sex ($F_{1,56,34}=0.220$, $P=0.64$) and did not change when adding CORTstart to the model (Table S3).

In this model, we included individual identity as a random effect, which increased statistical power of the model because MR and CORT were repeatable in both sexes (MR: $r=0.62$; CORT: $r=0.37$; Table S4). These estimates are within the range of estimates in our population for both MR (Briga and Verhulst, 2017) and CORT (Jimeno et al., 2017).




Fig. 3. Effect of treatment (warm versus cold) on MR and corticosterone (CORT) concentrations. Colours correspond to the same individuals in the two panels. The CORT axis is linear but note that the analyses were carried out using ln-transformed values.

Within-individual approach

Within-individual changes in MR induced by cold treatment were positively correlated with the associated changes induced by ambient temperature in CORT (Table 2, Fig. 5). Thus, higher temperature-induced increases in MR were associated with higher increases in CORT. This association did not differ between the sexes ($F_{1,24.34}=0.003$, $P=0.96$).

Table 1. Between-individual analyses of corticosterone (CORT) concentrations (ln transformed) in relation to body mass and metabolic rate (MR)

	Estimate	s.e.	d.f.	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Intercept	6.818	1.531	67.04		
Body mass	−0.193	0.059	44.77	10.679	0.002
MR	−7.668	4.221	62.86	3.300	0.074
MR ²	7.657	3.127	62.73	5.995	0.017
Rejected terms					
Treatment (cold)	−1.230	1.484	56.81	0.687	0.411
Sex (male)	0.338	0.827	58.01	0.167	0.684
MR×sex	−0.591	1.260	56.34	0.220	0.640
MR×treatment	1.806	2.281	57.77	0.627	0.432
Random factors					
Bird ID		Variance			
Plate		0.091			
Residual		0.002			
		0.259			

Main model: marginal $R^2=0.346$; conditional $R^2=0.519$.




Fig. 4. CORT concentrations in relation to MR (between-individual approach) in warm and cold treatments. Line shows the model prediction. Note that the part of the line corresponding to lower MR values is shown dashed because an increase in CORT when decreasing MR is interpreted as not to have biological meaning. The CORT axis is linear but note that the analyses were carried out using ln-transformed values.

DISCUSSION

The generally accepted association between GCs and MR is supported by a wealth of circumstantial evidence (see Introduction), but we are not aware of previous direct measurements combined with manipulations of MR in relation to CORT. We therefore manipulated MR through temperature change and found a strong positive CORT–MR association. Speculating on the mechanism causing the observed association falls outside the scope of the present paper but, on a functional level, our interpretation of this finding is that CORT ensured increased fuel (e.g. glucose, fatty acids) supply to match higher energetic needs, although we recognise that the evidence for such a relationship is mixed (Remage-Healey and Romero, 2001; Landys et al., 2004; Deviche et al., 2014). Our results are in line with previous studies finding a negative association between CORT and ambient temperature (Beaulieu, 2016; Jenni-Eiermann et al., 2008; Lendvai et al., 2009; de Bruijn and Romero, 2011; Jimeno et al., 2017), which generally has a strong effect on MR when below the thermoneutral zone (Briga and Verhulst, 2017). We found this association to be consistent both within and between individuals, and independent of temperature treatment, implying that variation in MR between individuals was associated with CORT in the same way as the temperature-induced variation. Furthermore, the CORT–MR

Table 2. Within-individual changes in CORT concentrations in relation to within-individual changes in MR

	Estimate	s.e.	d.f.	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Intercept	−0.163	0.271	22.20		
MR (change)	3.880	1.390	31.15	7.787	0.009
Rejected terms					
Sex (male)	0.024	0.506	23.03	0.003	0.962
MR×sex	0.161	2.998	24.34	0.003	0.958
Random factors					
Plate		Variance			
Residual		0.189			
		0.513			

Main model: marginal $R^2=0.177$; conditional $R^2=0.399$. Changes in CORT concentrations were calculated as $(\ln\text{CORT}_{\text{end in cold}}) - (\ln\text{CORT}_{\text{end in warm}})$; changes in MR were calculated as $(\text{MR}_{\text{end in cold}}) - (\text{MR}_{\text{end in warm}})$. ‘end’ refers to the measurement taken at the end of the experiment.




Fig. 5. Within-individual changes in MR in relation to within-individual changes in CORT concentrations in individual males and females. The thicker black line shows the association for the sexes pooled. The CORT axis is linear but note that the analyses were carried out using ln-transformed values.

association held across a broad CORT range, including baseline and stress-induced levels (as established in an earlier study on the same study population; Jimeno et al., 2017). The latter finding is in agreement with the comparative study of Haase et al. (2016), who found the GC–MR association to be similar for baseline and stress-induced GC levels.

To the best of our knowledge, MR has not previously been simultaneously manipulated and measured in conjunction with CORT measurements. However, de Bruijn and Romero (2011, 2013) used heart rate as a proxy of MR in conjunction with CORT measurements to investigate effects of experimentally changed climatic conditions in captive European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). To compare our findings with the results of de Bruijn and Romero (2011, 2013), we plotted average values of heart rate versus CORT for each of their treatment groups (the authors did not report the associations between the two traits). We find that the magnitude of the treatment effects on CORT and MR were strongly correlated between the different treatments in all three groups of experiments (Fig. S1). Thus, we conclude that the results of de Bruijn and Romero (2011, 2013) are in close agreement with the conclusions of the present study that there is a strong association between MR and CORT.

At the time that we took the blood sample for CORT (CORTend), the MR had been stable for some time (Fig. 2). We can therefore assume that energy turnover and fuel supply (glucose) were reasonably in balance at the time of measurement, and this may have contributed to our finding that CORT and MR were strongly correlated. Conversely, we would expect such a correlation to be weaker or absent in the extreme case of CORT measurements immediately after an acute increase in energy expenditure (Beerling et al., 2011), while homeostasis is still in disequilibrium. However, such a correlation would likely become stronger with CORT levels measured after an as-yet-undefined time lag, when homeostasis is being restored. Thus, the temporal profile of energy expenditure and the relative timing of the CORT measurement may be crucial when investigating the CORT–MR association, and this may explain the absence of such an association in studies in which CORT and MR were not measured at the same time (e.g. Buehler et al., 2012).

MR and CORT were strongly correlated both at the between- and within-individual levels. However, the shape of the relationship differed between the two levels, being quadratic between individuals and linear within individuals (but note that CORT was logarithmically transformed prior to analysis). The explanation for

this discrepancy can either be statistical or biological. A smaller range of variation within individuals when compared with the variation between individuals (see distributions along the x-axis in Figs 4 and 5) may have impeded the detection of a non-linear pattern within individuals. It is possible, therefore, that there also exists an accelerating pattern within individuals that we cannot detect with the present data. Experiments using a wider MR range would be needed to test this explanation. Alternatively, individuals with high CORT may have a lower sensitivity to CORT (which would be the reason for having high CORT), and hence they would need to up their CORT more to achieve the same physiological response as birds with higher CORT sensitivity (and hence low CORT). Such variation in CORT sensitivity of target cells could arise at any step in the causal chain from CORT to glucose and/or other plasma metabolites in the blood stream (see Bamberger et al., 1996) and could lead to associations within individuals being linear while accelerating (as before) upwards among individuals.

The present study was in part inspired by our previous finding in zebra finches of a strong relationship between ambient temperature and CORT in females housed outdoors, whereas this relationship was flat in males (Jimeno et al., 2017). A potential explanation for this finding was that the CORT–MR association is sex dependent, being flatter or absent in males when compared with females. However, the present study falsifies this hypothesis, because the MR and CORT responses to a decrease in ambient temperature were indistinguishable between males and females. However, this may be different in the outdoor aviaries where the birds are housed in groups. The sexes may, for example, differ in their huddling behaviour when subjected to natural variation in ambient temperature.

MR variation can arise in many different ways besides the effect of temperature that we employed. It remains to be tested, therefore, whether other short-term MR-modulating factors (e.g. psychological stressors) will cause MR to associate with CORT in the same way. However, some considerations lead us to believe that our finding of a strong CORT–MR relationship may apply more generally, regardless of context. First, our best-fitting models did not include treatment, which implies that the CORT–MR relationship we observed was independent of temperature context. Second, we find that the results of de Bruijn and Romero (2011, 2013), where MR was manipulated using different climatic variables in addition to temperature, are in complete agreement with our finding (Fig. S1). Last, Buwalda et al. (2012) previously showed that rewarding (sex) and aversive (defeat) social stimuli and habituation to these stimuli affected both CORT and heart rate in a very similar way. Nevertheless, further research is needed to determine to what extent the CORT–MR association we observed is consistent across contexts. To this end, studies are needed in which MR is manipulated in different ways and measured directly in conjunction with CORT measurements.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sabine Jörg for expertly running all assays and Gerard Overkamp for keeping the respirometry set up in good shape. We also thank Michael Briga and Renee Bendsdorp for their help during the sampling sessions and the preparation of the experiment.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: B.J., M.H., S.V.; Methodology: B.J., M.H., S.V.; Formal analysis: B.J., S.V.; Investigation: B.J., M.H., S.V.; Resources: S.V., M.H.; Data curation: B.J.; Writing - original draft: B.J.; Writing - review & editing: B.J., M.H., S.V.; Visualization: B.J., M.H., S.V.; Supervision: M.H., S.V.; Project administration: M.H., S.V.; Funding acquisition: M.H., S.V.

Funding

B.J. was funded by the University of Groningen (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen) and the Max Planck Institute for Ornithology (Max-Planck-Gesellschaft). The Max Planck Society also funded the hormone assays.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at <http://jeb.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/jeb.166124.supplemental>

References

- Akaike, H.** (1973). Maximum likelihood identification of Gaussian autoregressive moving average models. *Biometrika* **60**, 255–265.
- Bamberger, C. M., Schulte, H. M. and Chrousos, G. P.** (1996). Molecular determinants of glucocorticoid receptor function and tissue sensitivity to glucocorticoids. *Endocr. Rev.* **17**, 245–261.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S.** (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J. Stat. Soft.* **67**
- Bauch, C., Riechert, J., Verhulst, S. and Becker, P. H.** (2016). Telomere length reflects reproductive effort indicated by corticosterone levels in a long-lived seabird. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 5785–5794.
- Bauer, C. M., Glassman, L. W., Cyr, N. E. and Romero, L. M.** (2011). Effects of predictable and unpredictable food restriction on the stress response in molting and non-molting European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **160**, 390–399.
- Baugh, A. T., van Oers, K., Dingemans, N. J. and Hau, M.** (2014). Baseline and stress-induced glucocorticoid concentrations are not repeatable but covary within individual great tits (*Parus major*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **208**, 154–163.
- Beaulieu, M.** (2016). A bird in the house: the challenge of being ecologically relevant in captivity. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 141.
- Beerling, W., Koolhaas, J. M., Ahnaou, A., Bouwknicht, J. A., de Boer, S. F., Meerlo, P. and Drinkenburg, W. H. I. M.** (2011). Physiological and hormonal responses to novelty exposure in rats are mainly related to ongoing behavioral activity. *Physiol. Behav.* **103**, 412–420.
- Bonier, F., Moore, I. T. and Robertson, R. J.** (2011). The stress of parenthood? Increased glucocorticoids in birds with experimentally enlarged broods. *Biol. Lett.* **7**, 944–946.
- Bouwhuis, S., Sheldon, B. C. and Verhulst, S.** (2011). Basal metabolic rate and the rate of senescence in the great tit. *Funct. Ecol.* **25**, 829–838.
- Briga, M. and Verhulst, S.** (2017). Individual variation in metabolic reaction norms over ambient temperature causes low correlation between basal and standard metabolic rate. *J. Exp. Biol.* **220**, 3280–3289.
- Buehler, D. M., Vézina, F., Goymann, W., Schwabl, I., Versteegh, M., Tieleman, B. I. and Piersma, T.** (2012). Independence among physiological traits suggests flexibility in the face of ecological demands on phenotypes. *J. Evol. Biol.* **25**, 1600–1613.
- Buttner, W. A., Astheimer, L. B. and Wingfield, J. C.** (1991). The effect of corticosterone on standard metabolic rates of small passerine birds. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **161**, 427–431.
- Buwalda, B., Scholte, J., de Boer, S. F., Coppens, C. M. and Koolhaas, J. M.** (2012). The acute glucocorticoid stress response does not differentiate between rewarding and aversive social stimuli in rats. *Horm. Behav.* **61**, 218–226.
- Calder, W. A.** (1964). Gaseous metabolism and water relations of the zebra finch, *Taeniopygia castanotis*. *Physiol. Zool.* **37**, 400–413.
- Cyr, N. E., Wikelski, M. and Romero, L. M.** (2008). Increased energy expenditure but decreased stress responsiveness during molt. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **81**, 452–462.
- Dantzer, B., Fletcher, Q. E., Boonstra, R. and Sheriff, M. J.** (2014). Measures of physiological stress: a transparent or opaque window into the status, management and conservation of species? *Conserv. Physiol.* **2**, cou023.
- de Bruijn, R. and Romero, L. M.** (2011). Behavioral and physiological responses of wild-caught European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*) to a minor, rapid change in ambient temperature. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **160**, 260–266.
- de Bruijn, R. and Romero, L. M.** (2013). Artificial rain and cold wind act as stressors to captive molting and non-molting European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **164**, 512–519.
- Deviche, P., Beouche-Helias, B., Davies, S., Gao, S., Lane, S. and Valle, S.** (2014). Regulation of plasma testosterone, corticosterone, and metabolites in response to stress, reproductive stage, and social challenges in a desert male songbird. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **203**, 120–131.
- Goymann, W. and Dávila, P. F.** (2017). Acute peaks of testosterone suppress paternal care: evidence from individual hormonal reaction norms. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **284**, 20170632.
- Goymann, W., Trappschuh, M. and Urasa, F.** (2017). Corticosterone concentrations reflect parental expenditure in contrasting mating systems of two coucal species. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 15.
- Haase, C. G., Long, A. K. and Gillooly, J. F.** (2016). Energetics of stress: linking plasma cortisol levels to metabolic rate in mammals. *Biol. Lett.* **12**, 20150867.
- Hill, R. W.** (1972). Determination of oxygen consumption by use of the paramagnetic oxygen analyzer. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **33**, 261–263.
- Holtmann, B., Lagisz, M. and Nakagawa, S.** (2017). Metabolic rates, and not hormone levels, are a likely mediator of between-individual differences in behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**, 685–696.
- Jenni-Eiermann, S., Glaus, E., Grüebl, M., Schwabl, H. and Jenni, L.** (2008). Glucocorticoid response to food availability in breeding barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **155**, 558–565.
- Jimeno, B., Briga, M., Verhulst, S. and Hau, M.** (2017). Effects of developmental conditions on glucocorticoid concentrations in adulthood depend on sex and foraging conditions. *Horm. Behav.* **93**, 175–183.
- Koolhaas, J. M., Bartolomucci, A., Buwalda, B. D., De Boer, S. F., Flüggé, G., Korte, S. M., Meerlo, P., Murison, R., Olivier, B., Palanza, P. (et al. 2011). Stress revisited: a critical evaluation of the stress concept. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **35**, 1291–1301.**
- Landys, M. M., Ramenofsky, M., Guglielmo, C. G. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2004). The low-affinity glucocorticoid receptor regulates feeding and lipid breakdown in the migratory Gambel's white-crowned sparrow *Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **207**, 143–154.
- Landys, M. M., Ramenofsky, M. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2006). Actions of glucocorticoids at a seasonal baseline as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life processes. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **148**, 132–149.
- Lendvai, Á. Z., Loiseau, C., Sorci, G. and Chastel, O.** (2009). Early developmental conditions affect stress response in juvenile but not in adult house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **160**, 30–35.
- McEwen, B. S. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2003). The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Horm. Behav.* **43**, 2–15.
- Merkling, T., Blanchard, P., Chastel, O., Glauser, G., Vallat-Michel, A., Hatch, S. A., Danchin, E. and Helfenstein, F.** (2017). Reproductive effort and oxidative stress: Effects of offspring sex and number on the physiological state of a long-lived bird. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**, 1201–1209.
- Miles, D. B., Calsbeek, R. and Sinervo, B.** (2007). Corticosterone, locomotor performance, and metabolism in side-blotched lizards (*Uta stansburiana*). *Horm. Behav.* **51**, 548–554.
- Miller, D. A., Vleck, C. M. and Otis, D. L.** (2009). Individual variation in baseline and stress-induced corticosterone and prolactin levels predicts parental effort by nesting mourning doves. *Horm. Behav.* **56**, 457–464.
- Ouyang, J. Q., Sharp, P., Quetting, M. and Hau, M.** (2013). Endocrine phenotype, reproductive success and survival in the great tit, *Parus major*. *J. Evol. Biol.* **26**, 1988–1998.
- Ouyang, J. Q., de Jong, M., Hau, M., Visser, M. E., van Grunsven, R. H. A. and Spoelstra, K.** (2015). Stressful colours: corticosterone concentrations in a free-living songbird vary with the spectral composition of experimental illumination. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150517.
- Prest, M. R. and Cree, A.** (2008). Corticosterone treatment has subtle effects on thermoregulatory behavior and raises metabolic rate in the New Zealand common gecko, *Hoplodactylus maculatus*. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **81**, 641–650.
- Remage-Healey, L. and Romero, L. M.** (2001). Corticosterone and insulin interact to regulate glucose and triglyceride levels during stress in a bird. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* **281**, R994–R1003.
- Romero, L. M. and Wingfield, J. C.** (2015). *Tempests, Poxes, Predators, and People: Stress in Wild Animals and How they Cope*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Romero, L. M., Dickens, M. J. and Cyr, N. E.** (2009). The reactive scope model - a new model integrating homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. *Horm. Behav.* **55**, 375–389.
- Spencer, K. A. and Verhulst, S.** (2008). Post-natal exposure to corticosterone affects standard metabolic rate in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **159**, 250–256.
- Wack, C. L., DuRant, S. E., Hopkins, W. A., Lovern, M. B., Feldhoff, R. C. and Woodley, S. K.** (2012). Elevated plasma corticosterone increases metabolic rate in a terrestrial salamander. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **161**, 153–158.
- Welcker, J., Harding, A. M. A., Kitaysky, A. S., Speakman, J. R. and Gabrielsen, G. W.** (2009). Daily energy expenditure increases in response to low nutritional stress in an Arctic-breeding seabird with no effect on mortality. *Funct. Ecol.* **23**, 1081–1090.
- Welcker, J., Speakman, J. R., Elliott, K. H., Hatch, S. A. and Kitaysky, A. S.** (2015). Resting and daily energy expenditures during reproduction are adjusted in opposite directions in free-living birds. *Funct. Ecol.* **29**, 250–258.
- Wikelski, M., Lynn, S., Breuner, J. C., Wingfield, J. C. and Kenagy, G. J.** (1999). Energy metabolism, testosterone and corticosterone in white-crowned sparrows. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **185**, 463–470.

Table S1. Absolute CORTend concentrations (ng/ml, log transformed) in relation to sampling variables. Sampling order (2): individual sampled second; First trial (yes): first respirometer session for that individual. Round (afternoon): individual was sampled in the afternoon.

	Estimate	Std. error	df	F	p
Intercept	2.075	0.151	41.18		
Sampling order (2)	0.305	0.180	35.76	2.892	0.098
First Trial (yes)	0.234	0.187	53.69	1.565	0.216
Round (afternoon)	0.098	0.184	42.26	0.294	0.591
Random factors					
	Variance				
Bird ID	0.089				
Plate	0.039				
Residual	0.367				

Table S2. Between-individual analyses: AIC values for models with CORTend as the dependent variable (as in Table 1). Models always include MR and body mass, but vary in their including of treatment and its interactions.

Terms	AICc	ΔAICc
MR, MR², Mass (main model)	138.93	0.00
MR, MR ² , Treatment, Mass	142.66	3.73
MR, Treatment, Mass, MR x Treatment	144.25	5.32
MR, Mass	146.56	7.63

Table S3. Between-individual analyses. Absolute CORT concentrations (CORTend, Ln transformed) in relation to MR (MRend). The main model differs from the model shown in the main paper in that it includes CORTstart, i.e. the CORT concentration at the beginning of the trial.

	Estimate	s.e.	D.f.	F	p
Intercept	6.187	1.501	66.76		
Body mass	-0.192	0.054	38.45	12.612	0.001
CORTbeg	0.022	0.009	58.01	6.201	0.016
MR	-6.438	4.199	65.11	2.351	0.130
MR²	6.655	3.112	65.47	4.571	0.036
Variance					
Bird ID	0.015				
Plate	0.018				
Residual	0.292				

Table S4. Repeatabilities and variance components in both the sexes for (a) MRstart (the average of MR measured during the first 10 min after going into the respirometer), (b) CORTstart and (c) Resting MR (as measured after 1.5h into the respirometer and before treatment, i.e. after the acclimation time). Shown are variances and individual repeatabilities as extracted from the null model. Note that the sample sizes correspond to number of individuals, each being measured two times.

a	Females (N=18)		Males (N=18)		Total (N=36)	
	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.
Bird ID	0.0031	47.7%	0.0061	70.9%	0.0045	61.6%
Residual	0.0034	-	0.0025	-	0.0028	-

b	Females (N=18)		Males (N=18)		Total (N=36)	
	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.
Bird ID	0.1242	30.9%	0.1325	48.3%	0.1236	36.9%
Residual	0.2774	-	0.1420	-	0.2111	-

c	Females (N=18)		Males (N=18)		Total (N=36)	
	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.	Variance	Repeat.
Bird ID	0.0021	39.6%	0.0044	53.01%	0.0033	48.5%
Residual	0.0032	-	0.0039	-	0.0035	-




Figure S1. Corticosterone (ng/ml) in relation to heart rates (x-axis) in European starlings (data from de Bruijn & Romero 2011, 2013). Each data point represents the average of the response to experimental manipulation of climatic conditions. Heart rate is closely correlated to energy expenditure in starlings (Cyr et al., 2008) and can therefore be interpreted as proxy of MR. Because each panel shows values for the same individuals across all treatments, the linear relationship shown in these graphs corresponds to the average within-individual variation, which makes it comparable to Fig. 5 in the present paper. Treatment groups from left to right on the x-axis: (a) control, control+noise, control+air, cooling (de Bruijn & Romero, 2011); (b) rain+wind, rain, cold+wind, rain+cold+wind (non-molting birds; de Bruijn & Romero, 2013); (c) cold+wind, rain, rain+cold+wind, rain+wind (molting birds; de Bruijn & Romero, 2013). Note that graph scales are shown at different levels to make graphs comparable. For further details see de Bruijn & Romero (2011, 2013).